



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/762,680

01/21/2004

Osamu Kobayashi

GENSP047

5247

58766

7590

03/04/2009

Beyer Law Group LLP

P.O. BOX 1687

Cupertino, CA 95015-1687

EXAMINER

SHAIFER HARRIMAN, DANT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2434

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/762,680	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI, OSAMU	
	Examiner DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN	Art Unit 2434	

All Participants:

(1) DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claims 1 - 16, 18 20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Michael Ferrazano.

(4) _____.

Time: 1pm eastern standard time, 10am pacific western time

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following was discussed, the examiner called the above applicants representative, to point to applicant that applicant submitted no claims when the RCE and IDS was filed on 12/01/2008, therefore examiner assumed that the claim filed 11/12/2008 was to be used to when reviewing the IDS, then examiner questioned, didn't applicant submit a complete listing of claims, therefore this promoted examiner to contact applicants representative.